

TABLE of CONTENTS

COVER LETTER

ABSTRACT

SUMMARY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background	1-1
1.2 Purpose and Need	1-2
1.3 Fundamentals of Rangeland Health	1-2
1.4 Standards and Guidelines: Basic Concepts	1-3
1.5 Process for Establishing Standards and Guidelines	1-4
1.6 Public Scoping, Issues	1-5
1.7 Parameters for Developing and Implementing Standards and Guidelines .	1-6
1.8 Issues not Addressed	1-7
1.9 Analysis Area	1-8
1.10 California Desert Conservation Area	1-9
1.11 Other Plans and NEPA Analysis	1-10
1.12 Legal Framework	1-11

CHAPTER 2: DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES

2.1 Introduction	2-1
2.2 Plan Amendments	2-1
2.3 Water Quality -- Best Management Practices	2-2
2.4 Implementation	2-2

2.5 Alternative 1: Standards & Guidelines originally proposed by the Resource Advisory Councils	2-5
2.51 Bakersfield RAC Recommendation	2-5
2.52 Ukiah RAC Recommendation	2-12
2.53 Susanville RAC Recommendation	2-18
2.6 Alternative 2: State-wide Consistency / Consolidated Standards and Guidelines	2-25
2.7 Alternative 3: No Action (Fall-back Standards and Guidelines from the Regulations)	2-29
2.8 Alternative 4: Fast Recovery Standards and Guidelines	2-31
2.9 Alternative 5: Proposed Alternative (Modification of Standards & Guidelines originally proposed by the Resource Advisory Councils)	2-36
2.91 Bakersfield Standards and Guidelines	2-36
2.92 Ukiah Standards and Guidelines	2-47
2.93 Susanville Standards and Guidelines	2-58

CHAPTER 3: AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

3.1 General Setting	3-1
3.1.1 Landforms	3-1
3.1.2 Climate	3-2
3.1.3 Hydrology	3-2
3.2 Grazing Management and Administration	3-3
3.2.1 Allotments and Types of Operation	3-3
3.2.2 Grazing Permits and Leases	3-5
3.2.3 Range Improvements	3-5
3.2.4 Grazing Systems	3-6
3.2.5 Monitoring	3-7
3.3 Uplands	3-14
3.3.1 Soils	3-14
3.3.2 Vegetation	3-17
Annual Grasslands	3-18
Vegetation Dynamics	3-20
Vernal Pools	3-22
Grazing effects on shrubs and trees	3-23
Sagebrush Steppe	3-24
Grazing effects on shrubs	3-26
Salt Desert Scrub	3-28
Juniper and Pinyon Woodlands	3-29
Blackbush Scrub	3-30

3.3.3 Upland Conditions and Trends	3-32
3.4 Riparian-Wetlands and Stream Channels	3-37
3.4.1 Overview	3-37
3.4.2 Wetland-Riparian Vegetation	3-39
Vegetation Dynamics	3-40
3.4.3 Water Quality	3-42
3.5 Wildlife	3-45
3.5.1 Wildlife Communities	3-45
3.5.2 Big Game	3-47
Mule Deer	3-47
Elk	3-48
Pronghorn	3-49
3.5.3 Upland Game	3-50
3.5.4 Riparian, Wetland, and Aquatic Communities	3-50
3.6 Special Status Species	3-51
3.7 Wild Horses and Burros	3-52
3.8 Recreation	3-55
3.9 Wilderness	3-56
3.10 Cultural Resources	3-58
3.10.1 Cultural Properties	3-58
3.10.2 Traditional Lifeway Values	3-58
Native Americans	3-58
Ranching Communities	3-59
3.11 Economic Conditions	3-60
3.11.1 The Western United States	3-60
The Western Livestock Industry	3-60
Western Employment and Income -- Regional Trends	3-61
Western Ranch Income and Operations	3-61
Permit Value in the Western United States	3-63
Government Transfer Payments	3-63
Western U.S. Federal Rangeland Management Revenues and Costs	3-64
3.11.2 State of California (and N.W. Nevada)	3-64
California Employment and Income by Major Industry	3-65
California Livestock Operations and Production	3-66
Government Transfer Payments -- PILT, Grazing Fees	3-67
BLM Rangeland Management Program Expenses	3-67
3.11.3 Principal BLM Grazing Program Counties	3-67
County Geographic Size, Total Population and Population Density	3-69
County Employment and Income	3-69
Livestock Operations and Production	3-71
Government Transfer Payments -- PILT, Grazing Fees	3-73
Permit Value	3-75

California Possessory Interest Tax	3-76
Farm Real Estate Values	3-76

CHAPTER 4: ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

4.1 Introduction	4-1
4.2 Impacts Common to All Alternatives	4-1
4.2.1 Grazing Management	4-1
4.2.2 Uplands	4-3
4.2.2.1 Soils	4-3
4.2.2.2 Vegetation	4-4
Annual Grasslands	4-4
Sagebrush Steppe	4-4
4.2.3 Riparian-Wetlands and Stream Channels	4-5
4.2.3.1 Overview	4-5
4.2.3.2 Vegetation	4-6
4.2.3.3 Riparian Hydrology and Water Quality	4-7
4.2.4 Wildlife	4-8
4.2.4.1 Wildlife Communities	4-8
Annual Grassland Habitats	4-9
Sagebrush Steppe Habitats	4-9
Juniper, Pinyon-Juniper	4-10
Wetland/Riparian Habitats	4-10
4.2.4.2 Big Game	4-11
Mule Deer	4-11
Elk	4-12
Pronghorn	4-12
4.2.4.3 Upland Game	4-13
4.2.4.4 Fisheries	4-13
4.2.5 Special Status Species	4-13
Special Status Plant Species	4-13
Special Status Animal Species	4-15
4.2.6 Wild Horses and Burros	4-15
4.2.7 Recreation	4-17
4.2.8 Wilderness	4-18
4.2.9 Cultural Resources	4-18
Cultural Properties	4-18
Traditional Lifeway Values	4-19
Native Americans	4-19

Ranching Communities	4-19
4.3 Differences Between Alternatives	4-20
4.3.1 Grazing Management	4-20
Alternative 1, Original RAC Proposals	4-20
Alternative 2, State-wide Consistency/Consolidated Standards and Guidelines	4-22
Alternative 3, No Action (Fall-Back Standards and Guidelines) ...	4-22
Alternative 4, Rapid Improvement/Rapid Recovery Alternative ...	4-22
Alternative 5, Preferred Alternative (Modified RAC Proposals) ...	4-24
4.3.2 Uplands	4-25
Soils	4-25
4.3.3 Riparian-Wetlands and Stream Channels	4-26
Water Quality	4-26
4.3.4 Economics	4-28
EIS Study Area Analysis	4-28
Income and Employment Impacts	4-28
Grazing Fee Revenue Sharing	4-29
Possessory Interest Tax	4-30
Permit and Real Estate Values: EIS Area	4-30
Additional Permittee/Lessee Expenses	4-31
BLM Range Management Program Cost Impacts	4-31
Absentee Permittees and Grazing Program Impacts	4-32
Potential Local Impacts	4-33
4.4 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	4-34
Demographics and Change	4-34
Inter-relationships	4-36
Rangeland Health	4-37
Ranching and Rural Communities	4-38
Other Programs and Values	4-39

CHAPTER 5: CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION

5.1 Consultation	5-1
5.2 Public Participation	5-1
5.2.1 Resource Advisory Councils	5-2
5.2.2 Scoping	5-2
5.2.3 Distribution of Draft EIS	5-3
5.2.4 Final EIS	5-3
5.2.5 Additional Actions	5-3

5.3 List of Preparers	5-4
5.3.1 Bakersfield RAC	5-4
5.3.2 Ukiah RAC	5-4
5.3.3 Susanville RAC	5-4
5.3.4 Public Interest Groups, Agencies	5-5
5.3.5 BLM Preparers	5-5
5.4 Response to Comments	5-6
5.4.1 General Responses	5-7
Process	5-7
Utilization and Residue	5-9
Implementation and Monitoring (Soils)	5-9
Impacts from Other Programs	5-11
Recreation	5-11
Wilderness	5-12
5.4.2 Individual Responses (43 Letters)	5-13

MAPS

- Map 1 -- Map of Public Lands in California and Nevada**
- Map 2 -- Map Showing the RAC Areas -- Bakersfield, Ukiah, Susanville**
- Map 3 -- Map Showing the EIS Analysis Area**
- Map 4 -- Map Showing CA Floristic Province and Great Basin Floristic Province**
- Map 5 -- Map Showing 6 Regional Water Quality Basin Plans in the EIS Area**
- Map 6 -- Map of Wild Horse and Burro Herd Management Areas in the EIS Area**
- Map 7 -- Map Showing the Important Counties for Economic Analysis**

APPENDICES

- 1. Rangeland Health Matrix**
- 2. List of Grazing EIS's in California**
- 3. Laws, Legal Mandates**
- 4. List of BLM Plans to be Amended**
- 5. Table Showing Allotments in each Resource Area in the Different Management Categories -- I, M, C**
- 6. Flow Chart for Implementation**
- 7. Range Condition and Trend Tables**
- 8. Best Management Practices for Water Quality -- California**
- 9. Best Management Practices for Water Quality -- Nevada**

10. **Livestock Grazing Portion of BLM Draft Water Quality Plan**
11. **Special Status Plants**
12. **Special Status Animals**
13. **List of Wilderness Areas and Wilderness Study Areas**
14. **Soils Standards -- Comparison by Alternative for Adequacy**
15. **Water Quality Standard (Interpretation for Alternative 5)**
16. **Water Quality Standards -- Comparison by Alternative for Adequacy**
17. **Discussion of Noxious Weed Management**
18. **Omitted -- The explanation of the Economic Analysis Methodology applied only to the Draft EIS. See Chapter 4 Economics Analysis for an explanation of the methodology used.**
19. **Chapter 4 Summary Table -- Side-by-side Comparison of Impacts**
20. **Discussion of Utilization and Residue Levels**
21. **Implementation Plan**
22. **Monitoring Plan**
23. **Discussion of PFC (Properly Functioning Condition) for Riparian**
24. **Assessment Tool from Eagle Lake Field Office**
25. **Upland Assessment Tool (Pellant)**

REFERENCES

ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY on Utilization and Residue Levels

GLOSSARY

ADDITIONAL APPENDICES

- A. **List of Commentors, and Copy of Each Comment Letter Received**
- B. **Letters from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and National Marine Fisheries Service**